

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,307	06/29/2001	Hong Jiang	42390P10579	2386
8791 BLAKELY SO	7590 04/05/2007 OKOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER		
12.00	IRE BOULEVARD	CZEKAJ, DAVID J		
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/895,307	JIANG, HONG
Examiner	Art Unit
Dave Czekaj	2621

	Dave Czekaj		2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN (CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day a ving replies: (1) tice of Appeal (v	s filing a Notice of an amendment, af vith appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or ater than SIX MON b). ONLY CHECK	(2) the date set forth ITHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petit tension and the co shortened statutor than three month	rresponding amount	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/ w); ter form for app	or search (see NO	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	: lowable if submi	tted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment (s): a) the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-8,10-14,16-19,21-25,27,28,30-33 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	vided below or a	ppended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on th d sufficient reas	e date of filing a N ons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessariated. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is antered. An evaluation of the control of the c	vercome <u>all</u> reje y and was not ea	ections under appearlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status o	t the claims after e	entry is below or attack	iea.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT plac	ce the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Pa	per No(s)		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On pages 2-4, applicant argues that Wu fails to disclose the enhancement processing is independent of any intermediate data in the base layer as a result of a change in the calcluation of the enahchement layer quantization residue, wherein an enhancement residual addition applies to a final base layer output after a base layer clipping operation. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Wu column 7, lines 17-30. There Wu discloses that higher quality layers are predicted from at least one same or lower quality layer, but not necessarily the base layer. Wu goes on to give examples in which the base layer is not used. Wu further discloses the enhancement residual addition applies to a final base layer output after clipping as shown in figures 19-20. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

MEHRDAD DASTOURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC 2600